

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Un

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,590	09/28/2001	Abdulahi Mohamed	50035-1	2392	
51590 NEXUS LAW	7590 03/21/2007 GROUP LLP		EXAMINER		
1500 - 701 WEST GEORGIA STREET			LU, SHIRLEY		
VANCOUVER CANADA	R, BC V74-1C6		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
	NTHS	03/21/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/964,590	MOHAMED, ABDULAHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	<u> </u>	Shirley Lu	2612				
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 Ja</u>	anuary 2007					
		action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4) 又	Claim(s) <u>16-29</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	•				
	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-29</u> is/are rejected.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application	on Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
cos and attached detailed emice detail for a list of the definied copies not received.							
			·				
Attachment	•	n□	(DTO 440)				
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been read and considered. Examiner defers to the grounds of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 19 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record does not teach or suggest: said first and second housings are adapted to be secured to opposite sides of a door and the means for conducting electric signals between the first microprocessor and the central second processing unit extends through the door.

Claim Objections

Claim 19, 28 is/are objected to because of the following informalities: "central second processing unit" should perhaps be second microprocessor. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2612

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claim(s) 16-18, 20, 26-28 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wagner (6236303).

As to claim 16, Wagner discloses:

Although Wagner does not explicitly show two microprocessors, the system could be made of more than one processor chip, to suit design needs such as cost, ease of repair and maintenance. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wagner to teach two microprocessors as claimed, as an obvious matter of design choice. Additionally, at some point in time, an additional external microprocessor was used to program the second housing unit, in which both microprocessors would effectively be operably connected and communicating with each other.

A device for displaying multiple pre-programmed messages, comprising:

a first housing adapted to be mounted in a first location, a first electronic display mounted in the first housing (fig. 2, 4; [4, 4-13]; [5, 1-55]; [6, 4-15]), a first microprocessor associated with the first housing and being operably connected to the first electronic display for communicating display instructions to the first electronic display to generate a viewable message based on a selected message signal ([4, 4-13]; [5, 1-55]; [6, 4-15]);

a second housing adapted to be mounted in a second location, a second electronic display mounted in said second housing (fig. 1, 3; [3, 34-52]; [5, 1-55]; [6, 4-15]),

a plurality of programmed message signals ([3, 34-52]; [5, 1-55]; [6, 4-15]), means for conducting electric signals between the first microprocessor and the second microprocessor such that the second microprocessor is operably connected to the first microprocessor for communicating the selected message signal to the first microprocessor ([4, 17-44]; [5, 1-55]; [4, 4-13]), communicating display instructions to the second electronic display to generate a

message selection means associated with the second housing enabling a user to select from the plurality of programmed message signals, generate the viewable message and further communicating the selected message signal to the first microprocessor (fig. 3; [3, 53] to [4, 3]); and

viewable message based on the selected message signal ([3, 34-52]; [5, 1-55]);

a source of electricity associated with one of said first or second housings for supplying electric power to the device ([4, 13-16]).

As to claim 17, Wagner discloses:

the message selection means comprises a plurality of buttons, each button being associated with a programmed message signal corresponding to a message to be displayed ([6, 15-25]).

As to claim 18, Wagner discloses:

the first and second electronic displays each comprises an LCD display [6, 4-15]. As to claim 20,

Wagner discloses a power source comprising a battery ([4, 13-16]).

Wagner does not specifically disclose the specific location of the battery.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,590

Art Unit: 2612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wagner to teach said source of electricity comprises a battery mounted in one of said first or second housings, based on the system's diction of the environment and design.

As to claim 26, Wagner discloses:

A device for displaying multiple pre-preprogrammed messages, comprising:

a first housing adapted to be mounted in a first location; a first electronic display screen mounted in said first housing (fig. 2, 4; [4, 4-13]; [5, 1-55]; [6, 4-15]);

a second housing adapted to be mounted in a second location; a second electronic display screen mounted in said second housing (fig. 1, 3; [3, 34-52]);

a first microprocessor for providing display information to said display screen in said first housing ([4, 4-13]; [5, 1-55]);

a second microprocessor for providing display information to said display screen in said second housing ([3, 34-52]; [5, 1-55]; [6, 4-15]),

a power source in one of said first or second housings for supplying electric power to said display screens mad said first and second microprocessors [4, 13-16]:

means for selecting a message to be displayed on said first and second displays (fig. 3; [3, 53] to [4, 3]); and

means for conducting electric signals between said first and second microprocessors ([4, 17-44]; [5, 1-55]; [4, 4-13]).

As to claim 27,

said first and second display screens each comprises an LCD display ([6, 4-15]).

As to claim 29, see claim 23.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,590

Art Unit: 2612

2. Claim(s) 21 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wagner (6236303) in view of Herz (20060069749).

Page 6

As to claim 21,

Wagner discloses: a motion sensor ([5, 20-55]).

Wagner does not specifically disclose the first housing includes a motion sensor for sensing motion in the vicinity of the first housing, the motion sensor being operable to turn off the first electronic display in the absence of motion in the vicinity of the first housing to reduce electricity consumption, and to turn on the first display in the presence of motion in the vicinity of the first housing.

Herz discloses: a first housing includes a motion sensor for sensing motion in the vicinity of the first housing, the motion sensor being operable to turn off the first electronic display in the absence of motion in the vicinity of the first housing to reduce electricity consumption, and to turn on the first display in the presence of motion in the vicinity of the first housing [0042].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wagner to teach the first housing includes a motion sensor for sensing motion in the vicinity of the first housing, the motion sensor being operable to turn off the first electronic display in the absence of motion in the vicinity of the first housing to reduce electricity consumption, and to turn on the first display in the presence of motion in the vicinity of the first housing, so as to save power and allow the device to be turned off based on motion detector.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,590

Art Unit: 2612

3. Claim(s) 22-25 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Wagner (6236303) in view of Morris (6768424).

As to claim 22, 23,

Wagner does not specifically teach said first and second processing units are adapted

to communicate wirelessly by audio frequency or radio frequency.

Morris discloses a wireless communication between two devices using audio ro radio

frequency ([1, 57-60]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wagner to teach

said first and second processing units are adapted to communicate wirelessly by audio

frequency or radio frequency, so as to allow two remotely location devices to

communicate wirelessly.

As to claim 24,

Wagner discloses:

the message selection means comprises a plurality of buttons, each button being

associated with a programmed message signal corresponding to a message to be

displayed ([6, 15-25]).

As to claim 25,

Wagner discloses: a motion sensor ([5, 20-55]).

Wagner in view of Morris does not specifically disclose the first housing includes a

motion sensor for sensing motion in the vicinity of the first housing, the motion sensor

being operable to turn off the first electronic display in the absence of motion in the

Page 7

Art Unit: 2612

vicinity of the first housing to reduce electricity consumption, and to turn on the first display in the presence of motion in the vicinity of the first housing.

Herz discloses: a first housing includes a motion sensor for sensing motion in the vicinity of the first housing, the motion sensor being operable to turn off the first electronic display in the absence of motion in the vicinity of the first housing to reduce electricity consumption, and to turn on the first display in the presence of motion in the vicinity of the first housing [0042].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wagner in view of Morris to teach the first housing includes a motion sensor for sensing motion in the vicinity of the first housing, the motion sensor being operable to turn off the first electronic display in the absence of motion in the vicinity of the first housing to reduce electricity consumption, and to turn on the first display in the presence of motion in the vicinity of the first housing, so as to save power and allow the device to be turned off based on motion detector.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shirley Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-8546. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600